

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,377	• "	08/24/2001	Esa Wahlroos	04770.00020	3900
22907	7590	01/04/2005		EXAM	INER
BANNER of 1001 G STR		-	LEE, MIC	LEE, MICHAEL	
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				2614	
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/04/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

人	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/939,377	WAHLROOS, ESA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Lee	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 August 2004.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) 8-11,14-18,22 and 24 is/are allo	wed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,12,13,19-21,23 and 25-34</u> is	/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage
application from the International B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 12, 13, 19-21, 23, 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yifrach (5,329,320).

Regarding claim 1, Yifrach (5,329,320) discloses an instant replay system showing an antenna 11 for receiving a broadcast signal, which meets the receiving step as claimed, a input circuit 12 for demodulating RF signal into video and audio signals, which meets the converting step as claimed, an audio and video circuit 13 for transmitting the video and audio signal to a display 15 and speaker 14, respectively, which meets the transmitting step as claimed, a freeze button 32 for providing a capture command to logic 31, which meets the receiving step as claimed, and a RAM 30 for storing a video frame in response to the capture command, which meets the storing step as claimed, except the RAM is not a non-volatile memory as claimed. It is well known in the art that RAM memories can be made of non-volatile memories, such as FRAM (Ferroelectrics Random Access Memory) and NVRAM (Non-Volatile Random Access Memory). Since the RAM in Yifrach can be any conventional RAM, it would

Art Unit: 2614

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ FRAM or NVRAM as the non-volatile memory as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, the decompressor 24 in Yifrach converts a frozen picture signal into a television frame signal, which meets the converting step as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, the freezing and capturing operations in Yifrach can be repetitively operated.

Regarding claim 5, the decompressor 24 and audio and video circuit 13 can be repetitively operated to generate more than one picture frames by respectively pressing the freeze button. Hence, the converting step and the transmitting step are met by the decompressor 24 and circuit 13, respectively.

Regarding claim 6, Yifrach states that the storage device 30 stores both the video and audio signals when the freeze button 32 is pressed. The claimed receiving and storing steps are met by Yifrach because the digital audio and video signals in Yifrach are needed to be identified properly in order to be stored in the storage device 30.

Regarding claim 7, the playback mode in Yifrach meets the transmitting steps as claimed (see col. 5, lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 12, the decompressor 24 is a computing device.

Regarding claim 13, the freeze mode in Yifrach is operated during the reception of video signal.

Regarding claims 19-21, 23, in addition of rejection to claim 1, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2614

implement Yifrach's instant replay system by a computer software so that the system could be carried out by a conventional computer system which includes a central processing unit, a memory module for storing the software and an interface for coupling the computer system with the television receiver because software implementation is more cost effective than hardware implementation.

Regarding claim 26, in addition of above rejections, Yifrach further shows a terminal display (15) for displaying the captured image, except the application selection item as claimed. The examiner takes Official Notice that application selection function in a computer system is well known in the art. For instance, different images can be arbitrarily employed or selected as an application icon. The selection of the images would have been an obvious design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the captured picture frame as an application selection item to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 27, Yifrach does not specify the reducing step as claimed. The examiner takes Official Notice that image reducing or enlarging operation is well known in the art. For instance, television format converters employ image size converter for converting one standard to another so that received image with different sizes can fit into the screen of a television receiver. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the reducing step into Yifrach so that different image sizes can fit into the display screen.

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 28, the captured image in Yifrach inherently includes an icon because the captured image could be anything. For instance, it could be a television signal with a station logo on the bottom corners of the screen.

Regarding claims 29 and 30, Yifrach does not specify the displaying step for displaying the picture frame as an icon on a navigation bar. The examiner takes Official Notice that displaying different icons on a navigation bar is well known in the art in order to facilitate the user to recognize a particular task virtually. The icon selection would have considered an obvious design choice. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the captured frame image as an icon on a navigation bar to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 31, Yifrach does not specify the step of receiving a capture command from a mobile phone terminal. In any event, the freeze button 32 in Yifrach could be controlled by any means. For instance, it could be controlled by the user either remotely or locally. When controlled remotely, the controller could be any conventional remote control device such as a cellular phone. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a cellular phone into Yifrach so that the freeze button could be controlled remotely.

Regarding claim 32, being in a computer system configuration, the freeze button 32 is considered part of a computer terminal.

Regarding claim 33, in addition of rejection to claim 31, the cellular phone could be substituted with a remote control or any conventional radio control device.

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 34, the received video signal is accompanied with audio signal.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yifrach (5,329,320) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Cuccia (6,295,094).

Regarding claim 3, Yifrach does not specify the monitoring step, the identifying step, and the using step as claimed. Cuccia, from the similar field of endeavor. discloses an instant replay system showing the monitoring step (col. 3, lines 55-57), the identifying step and the using step (col. 4, lines 12-19). By using such steps, MPEG encoded video signals can be replayed and captured efficiently since the steps are intended to located the I-frames (complete data frames) of the incoming or stored video signal. Since the compressor 22 in Yifrach could be made any conventional compressor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a MPEG encoder as the compressor. When a MPEG encoder is employed in Yifrach, only I-frames can be captured into the RAM since only I-frames carry complete frame data. In order to recognize the I-frames, the steps as disclosed by Cuccia above would have to be utilized. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made. when a MPEG encoder is used as the compressor 22, to include the monitor step, the identifying step, and the using steps of Cuccia into Yifrach to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yifrach (5,329,320).

Regarding claim 25, Yifrach shows a means for receiving (11), a means for converting (12), a means for transmitting (13), a means for receiving (31), and means for storing (30).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-11, 14-18, 22 and 24 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant basically argues that Yifrach does not teach selectively storing a first picture frame into a memory as now amended to the claims. The examiner disagrees. In embodiment Figure 3, Yifrach shows a strobe input (40) to compressor 22 to selectively capture still video frames based on the user's selection. The number of frames to be captured is controlled by the count value N in counter loop 40. N is ranged

Art Unit: 2614

from 0 to infinite integer. Based on the count value, a single frame or a multiple frames of images can be captured by RAM 30 when the FREEZE button is pressed in a 30 second duration. Since video frames can be selectively captured in Yifrach, the newly added limitation is still met by Yifrach.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-4743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached on 703-305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Lee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614